Euro-Argo Brest, March 2015





# Assessment of the subsurface observing system in the Equatorial Pacific: The role of Argo in resolving intraseasonal to interannual variability



Florent Gasparin

SIO, UCSD

Dean Roemmich, John Gilson, Bruce Cornuelle and Janet Sprintall

## **TROPICAL PACIFIC OBSERVING SYSTEM (TPOS 2020)**



This would oversee the transition to a more resilient and integrated observing system.

- for **monitored**, **observed** and **predicted** the state of ENSO,
- for sustained observations to support prediction systems,
- for advanced and refined the knowledge of the predictability horizon.
- for determined **interannual to multidecadal variability** impacts.



Milburn et al., 1996







ΤΑΟ

Argo

## **OPTIMAL INTERPOLATION (Roemmich and Gilson, 2009)**



#### First step

- Climatological annual cycle, least square fit at each pressure level with the 300 "nearest" points.
  - (100 from the present pressure level plus 100 from each adjacent pressure level above and below, for each of the 12 months).
- Data weighted in inverse proportion to their horizontal distance from the grid point

#### Second step

- Then, anomalies from this first estimate, for each of 5-day bins of the time-series, were calculated by objective analysis.
- Data weighted depending on spatial and temporal scales

1. Sampling improvements

#### **SPATIAL SCALES**

#### Main differences between RG2009/G2015 : Using more than 10 years of data Focusing on the Equatorial Pacific

==> Normalised covariance of *Steric Height anomalies (0/2000)* from the climatological cycle



- Underestimation of covariance near the Equator
- Small increase of zonal scales between RG2009 and G2015

- Longer meridional scales at the Equator (not taken into account in RG2009)

## **COMPARING INDEPENDENT DATA : TEMPERATURE at 100m from TAO moorings**

- Argo is not able to represent upper ocean properties <u>at timescales lower than 20 days.</u>
- The 20-day signal represents around 70-80% of the total signal (TAO estimate).
- How intraseasonal and longer term variability can be represented by Argo ?



## **COMBINING ALTIMETRY & ARGO : TEMPERATURE at 100m from TAO moorings**



100m temperature at 140°W, 0°N

<u>Synthetic Temp:</u> Linear regression on altimetry and 100-m Argo temperature

Altimetry-Argo combined:

- First guess is synthetic temp.
- Optimal interpolation with Argo

==> Altimetry-Argo combined is better than Argo-alone and Altimetry-alone.

#### Significant improvements

## **ASSESSMENT OF THE ESTIMATED ERRORS IN TEMPERATURE**

The ESTIMATED *ERROR* (mean square error) in any optimal average is:

- proportional to the signal variance,

- dependent on the individual data points only in terms of the **data spacing**, not the individual data values themselves,

- the chosen spatial/time scales and signal-to-noise.

In general, G2015 lower than RG2009 and more consistent with OI minus independent observations.

==> important feature for assessing sampling strategies in the following



#### DIFFERENT ERRORS FOR DIFFERENT DESIGNS FOR THERMOCLINE TEMPERATURE



2006-2013 TAO average : Low errors at the mooring sites, high errors betweenMay 2014 Argo : Lower error along the equator

2006-2013 Argo average : More uniform Integrated system : Advantage of both datasets

## PRELIMINARY CONCLUSION ON THE OCEAN OBSERVING SYSTEM

#### Argo skills

- Homogeneous coverage
- High vertical resolution
- Salinity measurements down to 2000 m
- Cost per profile



weaknesses: temporal resolution, 10-year dataset



#### TAO array skills

- High frequency time-series data at the moorings sites.
- Atmospheric, and interface measurements
- Current velocity measurements
- The longest time series in the tropical Pacific (more than 30 years) <u>weaknesses:</u> spatial resolution, maintenance cost

#### Satellites

- Relatively high spatial and temporal resolution at the ocean surface.
- Salinity measurements at the surface
- 20-year dataset



weaknesses: no subsurface measurements

- **Measurement overlaps** are essential in an observing system due to the crucial need of intercomparisons which enable to assess the reliability of each component.
- Differences in the temporal and spatial resolution in the measurements enable to take advantage of **the skills of each component** of an observing system.

#### **INTRASEASONAL VARIABILITY - Altimetric SSH & Argo SH anomalies**



average from 1.5°S to 1.5°N.



## Upper thermal layer in 2015 - Is El Niño coming ?

average from 1.5°S to 1.5°N.





Monthly Ocean Briefing (NOAA Center for Weather and Climate Prediction)

SCRIPPS Argo-product

#### **SUMMARY**

Assessment of the subsurface ocean observing system in the Equatorial Pacific: The role of Argo in resolving intraseasonnal to interannual variability

- 1. Re-mapping the upper-ocean properties with a more accurate equatorial characteristics.
- 2. Comparing estimation to TAO temperature and altimetry sea surface height.
- 3. Assessing different components of the oceanic observing system.
- 4. Showing how vertical, zonal and meridional resolution has improved the representation of equatorial dynamic at intraseasonal and longer-term variability





Gasparin et al., 2015, Assessment of the ocean observing system in the Equatorial Pacific: The role of Argo in resolving intraseasonal to interannual variability, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, (in review).

# Extra

# SALINITY IN THE WESTERN PACIFIC







# **VERTICAL STRUCTURE**



error on the vertical

**RMS / altimetry - combined** 

**PDF** conversion