





# Ocean state estimates from the observations

#### Contributions and complementarities of Argo, SST and Altimeter data

S. Guinehut G. Larnicol A.-L. Dhomps P.-Y. Le Traon

2nd Euro-Argo User Workshop - June 2009

## Introduction

- Producing comprehensive and regular information about the ocean → the priority of operational oceanography and climate studies
- Our approach :
  - Consists of estimating 3D-thermohaline fields using ONLY observations
  - Represents an alternative to the one developed by forecasting centers based on model/assimilation techniques
  - Observed component of the Global MyOcean Monitoring and Forecasting Center lead by Mercator
  - Rely on the combined use of observations and statistical methods (linear regression + mapping)
- Previous studies have shown the capability of such approaches :
  - In producing reliable ocean state estimates (Guinehut et al., 2004; Larnicol et al., 2006)
  - In analyzing the contribution and complementarities of the different observing systems (in-situ vs. remote-sensing) (1<sup>st</sup> GODAE OSE Workshop, 2007)
- Method revisited here :
  - How Argo observations help us to improve the accuracy of our ocean state estimates
  - Contribution/complementarities of the different observing systems

## The principle





#### The method – step 1

1

vertical projection of satellite data (SLA, SST) → linear regression method

 $T(z) = \alpha(z).SLA_{steric} + \beta(z).SST' + T_{clim} (z)$ 

$$S(z) = \alpha'(z).SLA_{steric} + S_{clim}(z)$$

How Argo improves the accuracy of the synthetic estimates → Old vs. New ?

1. Choice of [T,S] climatology : Levitus 05 to ARIVO (Ifremer)

1.Altimeter pre-processing: sea level # dynamic height anomalies

Barotropic/baroclinic partition: extraction of the steric part

1. $\alpha(z)$ ,  $\beta(z) \rightarrow$  local covariances computed from historical observations



### **Barotropic / Baroclinic partition**

- SLA<sub>steric</sub> = SLA. Reg-coef
  - Old V1  $\rightarrow$  Guinehut et al., 2006 : use 1993-2003 observations
  - New V2  $\rightarrow$  Dhomps et al., 2009 (to be sub) : use 2001-2007 Argo data (Coriolis)





- Much better global coverage
- More accurate estimates thanks to salinity data
- Deeper estimate (1500 m vs. 700 m)

Euro-Argo User Workshop - June 2009

#### New covariances

#### New: WOD 05 + ARGO

Corrélation H-T(500m)

#### Old: WOD01

Correlation H–T(500m)



- More accurate estimates thanks to salinity data
- Deeper estimate (1500 m vs. 700 m)

2nd Euro-Argo User Workshop - June 2009

- 8 -

#### Validation of step-1

- Results over the year 2007
  - 3D T/S synthetic fields : weekly, [0-1500m], 1/3° grid
  - Validation by comparison with in-situ profiles





- Big impact of the climatology reduction of the bias at all depths
- $\blacktriangleright$  Improvement at the surface  $\rightarrow$  higher resolution SST
- Improvement in the mixed layer -> seasonal covariances
- $\blacktriangleright$  Improvement at depth  $\rightarrow$  more precise covariances (Argo T/S)

- Improvements :
  - 20 % in the surf layers
  - Up to 35 % at depth

#### Validation of step-1 / temperature / impact of SST



#### Levitus 05 Validation of step-1 / salinity Arivo Old-V1 New-v2 GLOBAL **GLOBAL** GLOBAL -100-100-100-200-200-200-300 -300-300-400-400-400-500-500-500-600-600 -600Depth (m) Depth (m) Depth (m) -700-700-700-800-800-800-900-900-900-1000-1000-1000-1100-1100-1100-1200-1200-1200Levitus05 Levitus05 Levitus05 -1300-1300-1300old old old new new new -1400-1400-140043892. 43892. 43892. -1500-1500-1500-0.010.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.8 -0.020.01 0.02 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.000.20 0.01.0 Mean difference (psu) **Rms difference (psu) Rms difference (% variance)**

- Improvement similar than for temperature
- Much more difficult to infer salinity at depth from surface measurements
- Improvements :
  - 35 % at all depths

- 12

## Summary – step 1 results

Indirectly, Argo observations have helped a lot to improve the accuracy of the method

- Deeper estimates (1500 m vs. 700 m)
- More precise globally (Southern Oceans)
- 20 to 35 % at depth for the T field
- 35 % for the S field
- Indirectly, Argo observations have helped a lot to improve the accuracy of the method

Large-part of T/S fields reconstructed using only remote-sensing observations and a statistical method

#### The method – step 2



**combination** of synthetic and in-situ profiles  $\rightarrow$  optimal interpolation method

Not much change yet (perspectives: correlation scales, error ...)

How it works : ex. T anomaly field at 100 m →

In-situ observations





## **Observing System Evaluation**

- 4 "products" :
  - Climatology (=Arivo) monthly fields
  - Synthetic fields weekly fields
  - **Combined fields**
  - Argo fields
- Observing system evaluation :
  - Combined fields / Argo fields -> SLA+SST / SLA impact
  - Combined fields / Synthetic fields -> Argo impact
  - Argo fields / Arivo  $\rightarrow$  Argo impact (when no remote-sensing)
  - Synthetic fields / Arivo -> SLA+SST / SLA impact (when no Argo)



- Combined fields / Argo fields → SLA+SST impact ~ 10 to 20 %
- Combined fields / Synthetic fields → Argo impact ~ 20 % to 30 % at depth
- Argo fields / Arivo → Argo impact (when no remote-sensing) ~ 30 to 40 %
- Synthetic fields / Arivo → SLA+SST impact (when no Argo) ~ 40 to 10 % at depth

➔ Argo important at depth



#### Negative values means that the errors are decreased Observing System Evaluation Temperature at 10 m

Argo impact (when no remote-sensing)

SLA+SST impact (when no Argo)





mandatory

for salinity

- Combined fields / Synthetic fields → Argo impact ~ 30 %
- Argo fields / Arivo → Argo impact (when no remote-sensing) ~ 30 to 40 %
- Synthetic fields / Arivo → SLA impact (when no Argo) ~ 10 to 20 % at depth





## **Conclusion / Perspectives**

- Using simple statistical techniques, about 50 to 60 % of the variance of the T field can be deduced from SLA+SST – the use of Argo improve the estimate by 20 to 30 %
- More difficult to reconstruct S at depth from SLA and statistics 40 to 50 % of the variance of the S field nevertheless reconstructed – the use of Argo improve the estimate by 30 %

This Ocean state estimate tool is able to evaluate the impact and complementarities of the different observing systems :

Implement metrics for routine monitoring of the observing system

#### Perspectives:

- Computation of a reanalysis of the 1993-2009 period
- To analyze the ocean variability
- To be compared to the Mercator reanalysis (Glorys project / MyOcean)



#### Negative values means that the errors are decreased Observing System Evaluation Temperature at 300 m

Argo impact (when no remote-sensing)

SLA+SST impact (when no Argo)



Argo impact

SLA+SST impact



